

# Progress report (Regina Edoo) and Financial overview 5<sup>th</sup> payment claim (Alwin Wink)

Antwerp Meeting  
Interreg IVB – project Raingain  
31<sup>st</sup> March – 1<sup>st</sup> April 2014



# (Long) Progress report

## 1<sup>st</sup> Jan – 31<sup>st</sup> December 2013

- Why visit to Joint Technical Secretariat (JTS)
- Why visit from JTS
- Recommendations JTS
- How to report progress?
- Activities last period
- Major changes
- Problems encountered



# Why visit to JTS?

Lille 17 February 2014

## 1. Last monitoring report not good

- Concerns regarding progress, budget, investments.
- Concerns regarding joint development
- Concerns regarding involving (more) policy makers and the (wider) public



# Why visit to JTS?

Lille 17 February 2014

2. Strengthen personal relationships
3. Discuss developments (face to face)
  - > Budget change, extra budget?
  - > How to deal with extra activities?
  - > Extend end date to 31-12-2015?
4. Discuss communication



# Why visit from JTS?

13 and 14 March 2014

- Do we form a risk project? (size partnership, investment budget, delays, etc.). Concerns regarding investment.
- Wanted to get better insight in partner activities, progress of actions/results.
- Check general implementation of the project.



# Recomondations JTS

- Aside from the NOG's encourage to jointly organised extra transnational Local Authorities meetings >involve policy makers
- Accelerate implementations of the investments to ensure a proper dissemination



# How to report progress?

- First questions - give general overview of activities last period
- Per workpackage planned outputs, activity, who collaboration, etc.

## **For example:**

WP1A1: delivery of two newly acquired, functional, validated Xband radars in two pilot locations and additional rain gauges pilot locations



# How did the actions progress in the last period?

WP1A1: In 2013, contracts have been signed for acquisition of the new radars in Rotterdam and Paris. SSBV has been contracted for the Rotterdam radar and Selex for the Paris radar.....





Who was involved? Which partner contributed what?

WP1A1 :Rotterdam, ENPC, TUD, MétéoFrance, Veolia and Val de Marne were involved in this process. Consultations from Aquafin, KU Leuven and MetOffice took place regarding technical issues such as permissions for radar emission.



## What outputs were accomplished?

WP1A1: Signed contracts for acquisition of the new radar in Rotterdam, signed agreements with building owners, permissions for emission. In Paris request for permissions for radar installation and emission have been submitted at the designated authority. Formal authorization expected in spring 2014.



Has there been any deviation from the planned activities (content and/ or finance)?

WP1A1 :nothing that has not already been reported to JTS.



# Activities last period in progress report

1. finalize the radar installation;
2. finalize the review document on rainfall estimation methods;
3. implementation of finescale rainfall data in flood models and customize models for all pilot sites;
4. analysis of flood conditions at pilot sites;
5. exchange experiences between partners on flood control approaches and solutions



# Partnership development, Added value of working transnationally....

- Benefited from exchange of ideas and knowledge
- Able to compare roles of stakeholders and existing modeling in each partner country
- Discussed common problems and the way in which we can deal with them
- Discussing and comparing methods used in the different countries
- Roles of stakeholders in each partner country is clear
- Help each other to solve particular problems of each location



# Major Changes in implementation plan?

- No major changes



# Problems ?

Delay of the purchase of the radar

