





Seine-Saint-Denis Le département



WP3 update

RainGain Project Meeting, Antwerpen, 31 March - 2 April 2014

ENPC : A. Gires, A. Giangola-Murzyn, J.-B. Abbes, J. Richard, I. Tchiguirinskaia, D. Schertzer Val-de-Marne : A. Ichiba, P. Bompard Seine-Saint-Denis : N. Stantic, F. Chaumeau, V. Lanier



Pilot locations













Sucy-en-Brie catchment : comparison Multi-Hydro / Canoe



Comparing two hydrological models CANOE and MULTIHYDRO



Objectives:

- Validate the two models: MultiHydro and Canoe using different types of rainfall data
- Compare two modelling approches (Semi-distributed and Totally distributed)





Comparing two hydrological models CANOE and MULTIHYDRO



Raingauge data: (31 raingauges)







Comparing two hydrological models

CANOE and MULTIHYDRO



Results: 15/11/2011

event









Results: 19/06/2013 event









Implementation and validation of Multi-Hydro in Jouy-en-Josas







J.B. Abbes (ENPC student) part time internship (March - June 2014)

Done :

- Refinement of catchment limits - Multi-hydro update (inputting a river flow)

To be done:

- -Validation of MH on 4 rainfall events
- Sensitivity to small scale variability of intputs







Impervious area, Jouy (roads+houses)

Comparison with Kodak catchment (Gires, 2012)







14th July 2010 event

Rainfall intensity





ParisTech







Combined spatio-temporal multifractal analysis of radar rainfall and simulated surface runoff on the Kodak Catchment







Uncertainty associated with small scale rainfall variability Methodology

- (i) Generation of an ensemble of realistic downscaled rainfall fields (virtual X-band) :
 - Multifractal analysis of rainfall data
 - Downscaling with the help of discrete universal multifractal cascades
- (ii) Simulation of the corresponding ensembles of hydrographs :
 - Use of operational hydrological/hydraulic urban models

(iii) Analysis of the ensembles :







Uncertainty associated with small scale rainfall variability

Simulated flow for the outlet of the Kodak Catchment (MH 10 m) for 15 Dec. 2011 event

Sensitivity to the UM parameters used in the downscaling process



With α =1.8 and C₁=0.1

	$\alpha = 1.8$	α = 1.8	$\alpha = 1.4$	$\alpha = 0.6$
	$C_1 = 0.1$	$C_1 = 0.05$	$C_1 = 0.1$	$C_1 = 0.1$
	$(\gamma_{\rm s} = 0.50)$	$(\gamma_{\rm s} = 0.36)$	$(\gamma_{\rm s} = 0.43)$	$(\gamma_{\rm s} = 0.22)$
Up-stream	42.9	30.3	46.4	39.3
conduit				
Middle	16.7	13.5	15.7	14.3
conduit				
Outlet	18.2	9.7	14.0	12.4





Synthetic rainfall event

- 42 min
- 17 mm event with a cumulated -
- resolution of 10 m x 10 m x 20 s

-Transfer of scaling features -Rather stable during the event -Scaling lost after the event



